arXiv:1511.07967v2 [math.FA] 9 Jan 2016

HELTON-HOWE-CAREY-PINCUS TRACE FORMULA AND KREIN'S THEOREM

ARUP CHATTOPADHYAY [1)] AND KALYAN B. SINHA [2)]

Abstract. In this article, we derive the Helton-Howe-Carey-Pincus trace formula as a consequence of Krein's trace formula.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 47A13, 47A55, 47A56.

Key words and Phrases: Trace formula, Perturbations of self-adjoint operators, Spectral integral.

1. Introduction

Notation: In the following, we shall use the notations given below: $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{B}_{sa}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{B}_{1+}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{B}_{1-}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H})$ denote a separable Hilbert space, set of bounded linear operators, set of bounded self-adjoint linear operators, set of trace class operators, set of positive trace class operators, set of negative trace class operators, set of Hilbert-Schmidt operators and Schatten-p class operators respectively with $\|.\|_p$ as the associated Schatten-p norm. Furthermore by $\sigma(A)$, $E_A(\lambda)$, $D(A)$, $\rho(A)$, we shall mean spectrum, spectral family, domain, resolvent set, and resolvent of a self-adjoint operator A respectively, and $\mathrm{Tr}(A)$ will denote the trace of a trace class operator A in $\mathcal{H}$. Also we denote the set of natural numbers and the set of real numbers by $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ respectively. The set $C(I)$ is the Banach space of continuous functions over a compact interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with sup-norm $\|.\|_\infty$, and $C^n(I)$ $(n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})$, the space of n-th continuously differentiable functions over a compact interval I with norm

$$\|f\|^n = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \|f^{(j)}\|_\infty \text{ for } f \in C^n(I)$$

and $f^{(j)}$ is the j-th derivative of f (for $n = 0$, $C^n(I)$ is $C(I)$), and $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ the standard Lebesgue space. We shall denote $f^{(1)}$, the first derivative, as f'. Next we define the class $C_1^1(I) \subseteq C(I)$ as follows

$$C_1^1(I) = \Big\{ f \in C(I) : \|f\|_1^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\alpha)|(1 + |\alpha|)d\alpha < \infty \Big\},$$

where $\hat{f}$ is the Fourier transform of f; and it is easy to see that $C_1^1(I) \subseteq C^1(I)$ (since $\|.\|^1 \leq \|.\|_1^1$); and denote the set all $f \in C_1^1(I)$ such that $f' \geq 0$ (≤ 0 respectively) by $C_{1+}^1(I)$ ($C_{1-}^1(I)$ respectively). Similarly we denote the set of all polynomials with complex coefficients in I by $\mathcal{P}(I)$ and the set of all $p \in \mathcal{P}(I)$ such that $p' \geq 0$ (≤ 0 respectively) by $\mathcal{P}_+(I)$ ($\mathcal{P}_-(I)$ respectively).

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a hyponormal operator, that is, $[T^*, T] \geq 0$. Set $T = X + \mathrm{i}Y$, where $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_{sa}(\mathcal{H})$ and it is known that $\mathrm{Re}(\sigma(T)) = \sigma(X)$, $\mathrm{Im}(\sigma(T)) = \sigma(Y)$ [14], $[T^*, T] \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$ if an additional assumption of finiteness of spectral multiplicity is assumed [2, 10, 13, 14]. A hyponormal operator T is said to be purely hyponormal if there exists no subspace $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ which is invariant under T such that the restriction of T to $\mathcal{S}$ is normal. For a purely hyponormal operator T, it is also known that its real and imaginary parts, that is, X and Y are spectrally absolutely continuous [10, 16].

(A): The main assumption of the whole paper is that $T = X + \mathrm{i}Y$ ***is a purely hyponormal operator in*** $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ***such that*** $[T^*, T] = -2\mathrm{i}[Y, X] = 2D^2 \in \mathcal{B}_{1+}(\mathcal{H})$ ***and*** $\sigma(X) \cup \sigma(Y) \subseteq [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$***.***

2. Main Section

Let us start with few lemmas which will be useful to prove our main result.

Lemma 2.1. *Let T satisfy* ***(A)****. Then for $\psi \in C_1^1([a, b])$ or $\mathcal{P}([a, b])$,*

$$[\psi(Y), X] \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{and} \quad -\mathrm{i}\mathrm{Tr}\{[\psi(Y), X]\} = \mathrm{Tr}\{\psi'(Y)D^2\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Similarly,

$$[Y, \psi(X)] \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{and} \quad -\mathrm{i}\mathrm{Tr}\{[Y, \psi(X)]\} = \mathrm{Tr}\{\psi'(X)D^2\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. Now for $\psi \in C_1^1([a, b])$ we have

(2.3)

$$\begin{aligned}[\psi(Y), X] &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\psi}(\alpha)[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha Y}, X]d\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\psi}(\alpha) \left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha Y} X - X\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha Y}\right) d\alpha \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\psi}(\alpha)d\alpha \int_0^\alpha \mathrm{i}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\alpha-\beta)Y}[Y, X]\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta Y}d\beta = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\psi}(\alpha)d\alpha \int_0^\alpha \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\alpha-\beta)Y} D^2 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta Y}d\beta.\end{aligned}$$

Since $D^2 \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{\psi}(\alpha)||\alpha|d\alpha < \infty$, then from the above equation (2.3) we conclude that

$$[\psi(Y), X] \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}) \text{ and } \|[\psi(Y), X]\|_1 \leq \|D^2\|_1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{\psi}(\alpha)||\alpha|d\alpha.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}&-\mathrm{i}\mathrm{Tr}\{[\psi(Y), X]\} = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\psi}(\alpha)d\alpha \int_0^\alpha \mathrm{Tr}\{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha Y} D^2\}d\beta = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha\hat{\psi}(\alpha)d\alpha \mathrm{Tr}\{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha Y} D^2\} \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{i}\alpha\hat{\psi}(\alpha)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha Y}d\alpha \; D^2\} = \mathrm{Tr}\{\psi'(Y)D^2\},\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the cyclicity of trace and the fact that

$$\psi'(\beta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{i}\alpha\hat{\psi}(\alpha)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha\beta}d\alpha.$$

Next for $\psi(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} c_j t^j \in \mathcal{P}([a,b])$ we get

$$[\psi(Y), X] = \sum_{j=0}^{n} c_j [Y^j, X] = \sum_{j=0}^{n} c_j \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} Y^{j-k-1}[Y,X]Y^j = \mathrm{i}\sum_{j=0}^{n} c_j \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} Y^{j-k-1} D^2 Y^k. \tag{2.4}$$

Since $D^2 \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$, then from the above equation (2.4) we conclude that

$$[\psi(Y), X] \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{and} \quad \|[\psi(Y), X]\|_1 \leq \|D^2\|_1 \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} j|c_j| \|Y\|^{j-1} \right).$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathrm{i}\mathrm{Tr}\{[\psi(Y), X]\} &= \sum_{j=0}^{n} c_j \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \mathrm{Tr}\{Y^{j-k-1} D^2 Y^k\} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} c_j \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \mathrm{Tr}\{Y^{j-1} D^2\} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n} j c_j \mathrm{Tr}\{Y^{j-1} D^2\} = \mathrm{Tr}\{\sum_{j=0}^{n} j c_j Y^{j-1} D^2\} = \mathrm{Tr}\{\psi'(Y) D^2\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the cyclicity of property of trace. By interchanging the role of X and Y in the above calculations, we conclude that

$$[Y, \psi(X)] \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{and} \quad -\mathrm{i}\mathrm{Tr}\{[Y, \psi(X)]\} = \mathrm{Tr}\{\psi'(X) D^2\}.$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let T satisfy **(A)**. Then $-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y), X] \in \mathcal{B}_{1\pm}(\mathcal{H})$ according as $\psi \in C^1_{1\pm}([a,b])$ or $\mathcal{P}_{\pm}([a,b])$ respectively. Similarly, $-\mathrm{i}[Y, \psi(X)] \in \mathcal{B}_{1\pm}(\mathcal{H})$ according as $\psi \in C^1_{1\pm}([a,b])$ or $\mathcal{P}_{\pm}([a,b])$ respectively.*

Proof. Let $\psi \in C^1_1([a,b])$. Then from equation (2.3) in lemma 2.1, we have

$$-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y), X] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{i}\hat{\psi}(\alpha) d\alpha \int_0^{\alpha} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\alpha-\beta)Y} D^2 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta Y} d\beta.$$

Next by the spectral theorem for Y we get

$$-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y), X] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{i}\hat{\psi}(\alpha) d\alpha \int_0^{\alpha} d\beta \int_a^b \int_a^b \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\alpha-\beta)t} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta t'} E^{(Y)}(dt) D^2 E^{(Y)}(dt'),$$

where $E^{(Y)}(.)$ is the spectral family of the self-adjoint operator Y. Note that $\mathcal{E}(\Delta \times \delta)(S) \equiv E^{(Y)}(\Delta) S E^{(Y)}(\delta)$ ($S \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ and $\Delta \times \delta \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$) extends to a spectral measure (finite) on

$\mathbb{R}^2$ in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$. Therefore by Fubini's theorem

(2.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y),X]=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{i}\hat{\psi}(\alpha)d\alpha\int_a^b\int_a^b \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha t}\int_0^\alpha d\beta\ \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\beta(t-t')}E^{(Y)}(dt)D^2E^{(Y)}(dt')\\
&=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{i}\hat{\psi}(\alpha)d\alpha\int_a^b\int_a^b\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha t'}-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha t}}{\mathrm{i}(t'-t)}E^{(Y)}(dt)D^2E^{(Y)}(dt')\}\\
&=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_a^b\int_a^b\frac{\psi(t')-\psi(t)}{t'-t}E^{(Y)}(dt)D^2E^{(Y)}(dt')\}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{[a,b]^2}\tilde{\psi}(t,t')\mathcal{E}(dt\times dt')(D^2),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\psi}(t,t')=\begin{cases}\frac{\psi(t')-\psi(t)}{t'-t}, & \text{if } t\neq t',\\ \psi'(t), & \text{if } t=t'.\end{cases}$$

Note that for $\psi\in C^1_{1\pm}([a,b])$, we have

$$\tilde{\psi}(t,t')\geq 0\quad(\text{or }\leq 0)\quad\text{respectively for}\quad t,t'\in[a,b]$$

and hence from the equation (2.5) we conclude that $-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y),X]\geq 0$ or $-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y),X]\leq 0$ accordingly. By repeating the above calculations with X and Y interchanged we get that

(2.6)

$$-\mathrm{i}[Y,\psi(X)]=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{i}\hat{\psi}(\alpha)d\alpha\int_0^\alpha \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\alpha-\beta)X}D^2\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta X}d\beta=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{[a,b]^2}\tilde{\psi}(t,t')\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(dt\times dt')(D^2),$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\Delta\times\delta)(S)=E^{(X)}(\Delta)SE^{(X)}(\delta)$ ($S\in\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ and $\Delta\times\delta\subseteq\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$) extends to a spectral measure on $\mathbb{R}^2$ in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ and $E^{(X)}(.)$ is the spectral family of the self-adjoint operator X. Therefore as above we conclude that $-\mathrm{i}[Y,\psi(X)]\geq 0$ or ≤ 0 if $\psi\in C^1_{1+}([a,b])$ or $C^1_{1-}([a,b])$ respectively.

Next assume that $\psi(t)=\sum_{j=0}^n c_jt^j\in\mathcal{P}([a,b])$. Then from equation (2.4) in lemma 2.1, we get

$$-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y),X]=\sum_{j=0}^n c_j\sum_{k=0}^{j-1}Y^{j-k-1}D^2Y^k.$$

Thus by using spectral theorem for Y and Fubini's theorem we get

(2.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y),X]=\sum_{j=0}^n c_j\sum_{k=0}^{j-1}Y^{j-k-1}D^2Y^k=\sum_{j=0}^n c_j\sum_{k=0}^{j-1}\int_a^b\int_a^b t^{j-k-1}t'^kE^{(Y)}(dt)D^2E^{(Y)}(dt')\\
&=\sum_{j=0}^n c_j\int_a^b\int_a^b\frac{t'^j-t^j}{t'-t}E^{(Y)}(dt)D^2E^{(Y)}(dt')=\int_{[a,b]^2}\tilde{\psi}(t,t')\mathcal{E}(dt\times dt')(D^2),
\end{aligned}$$

where $E^{(Y)}(.)$ is the spectral family of the self-adjoint operator Y and $\tilde{\psi}(t,t')$, $\mathcal{E}(dt\times dt')$ as in equation (2.5). Note that

$$\tilde{\psi}(t,t')\geq 0(\text{ or }\leq 0)\quad\text{according as}\quad\psi\in\mathcal{P}_+(\text{ or }\mathcal{P}_-)$$

and hence from (2.7) we conclude that

$$-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y), X] \geq 0(\text{ or } \leq 0) \quad \text{according as} \quad \psi \in \mathcal{P}_+(\text{ or } \mathcal{P}_-).$$

By repeating the above calculations with X and Y interchanged we get that

$$-\mathrm{i}[Y, \psi(X)] = \int_{[a,b]^2} \tilde{\psi}(t,t')\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(dt \times dt')(D^2),$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(dt \times dt')$ as in (2.6). Therefore as above we conclude that

$$-\mathrm{i}[Y, \psi(X)] \geq 0(\text{ or } \leq 0) \quad \text{according as} \quad \psi \in \mathcal{P}_+(\text{ or } \mathcal{P}_-).$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let T satisfy **(A)**. Then $-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y), \phi(X)] \in \mathcal{B}_{1\pm}(\mathcal{H})$ according as ψ and $\phi \in C^1_{1\pm}([a,b])$ or $\mathcal{P}_\pm([a,b])$ respectively.*

Proof. Let $\psi, \phi \in C^1_1([a,b])$ or $\mathcal{P}([a,b])$. Then by repeating the same calculations as in (2.5) and in (2.7) of the above lemma 2.2 we get,

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y), \phi(X)] &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_a^b \int_a^b \frac{\psi(t') - \psi(t)}{t' - t} E^{(Y)}(dt)(-\mathrm{i}[Y, \phi(X)])E^{(Y)}(dt')\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{[a,b]^2} \tilde{\psi}(t,t')\mathcal{E}(dt \times dt')(-\mathrm{i}[Y, \phi(X)]), \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where the description of $\tilde{\psi}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ has been discussed in the proof of lemma 2.2. On the other hand from lemma 2.2 we say that

$$-\mathrm{i}[Y, \phi(X)] \in \mathcal{B}_{1\pm}(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{according as} \quad \phi \in C^1_{1\pm}([a,b]) \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{P}_\pm([a,b]) \quad \text{respectively.} \tag{2.9}$$

Therefore by combining equations (2.8) and (2.9) we conclude that

$$-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y), \phi(X)] \in \mathcal{B}_{1\pm}(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{according as} \quad \psi, \phi \in C^1_{1\pm}([a,b]) \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{P}_\pm([a,b]) \quad \text{respectively.}$$

This completes the proof. □

As the title suggests, we shall next state Krein's theorem and study its consequences on commutators like $[\psi(Y), \phi(X)]$ for $\psi, \phi \in C^1_1([a,b])$ or $\mathcal{P}([a,b])$.

Proposition 2.4. *(Krein's Theorem)*[11, 12, 17, 18] *Let H and H_0 be two bounded self-adjoint operators in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $V = H - H_0 \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$. Then there exists a unique $\xi_{H_0,H}(.) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that for $\phi \in C^1_1([a,b])$ or $\mathcal{P}([a,b])$, $\phi(H) - \phi(H_0) \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$ and*

$$\mathrm{Tr}\{\phi(H) - \phi(H_0)\} = \int_a^b \phi'(\lambda)\xi_{H_0,H}(\lambda)d\lambda,$$

where $\sigma(H) \cup \sigma(H_0) \subseteq [a,b]$. Furthermore

$$\int_a^b |\xi_{H_0,H}(\lambda)|d\lambda \leq \|V\|_1; \int_a^b \xi_{H_0,H}(\lambda)d\lambda = \mathrm{Tr}V,$$

and if $V \in \mathcal{B}_{1+}(\mathcal{H})$ or $\mathcal{B}_{1-}(\mathcal{H})$, then $\xi_{H_0,H}(\lambda)$ is positive or negative respectively for almost all $\lambda \in [a,b]$.

Theorem 2.5. *Assume **(A)**. Let ϕ and ψ be two complex-valued functions such that ϕ, $\psi \in C_1^1([a,b])$ or $\mathcal{P}([a,b])$. Then $[\psi(Y), \phi(X)]$ is a trace class operator and there exist unique $L^1(\mathbb{R})$- functions $\xi(t;\psi)$ and $\eta(\phi;\lambda)$ such that*

$$-\mathrm{iTr}\{[\psi(Y),\phi(X)]\} = \int_a^b \phi'(t)\xi(t;\psi)dt = \int_a^b \psi'(\lambda)\eta(\phi;\lambda)d\lambda. \tag{2.10}$$

Furthermore, if $\phi, \psi \in C_{1+}^1([a,b])$ or $\mathcal{P}_+([a,b])$, then $\xi(t;\psi)$, $\eta(\phi;\lambda) \geq 0$ for almost all $t, \lambda \in [a,b]$,

$$\int_a^b |\xi(t;\psi)|dt \leq \|-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y),X]\|_1 \ , \ \int_a^b \xi(t;\psi)dt = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\psi'(Y)D^2\right) \quad \textit{and}$$
$$\int_a^b |\eta(\phi;\lambda)|d\lambda \leq \|-\mathrm{i}[Y,\phi(X)]\|_1 \ , \ \int_a^b \eta(\phi;\lambda)d\lambda = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\phi'(X)D^2\right).$$

Proof. At first we assume ϕ, ψ are real valued. Now let us consider the self-adjoint operators $H_0 = X$ and $H = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\psi(Y)}X\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\psi(Y)}$. Then

$$H - H_0 = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}s\psi(Y)}X\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}s\psi(Y)}\right)ds = \mathrm{i}\int_0^1 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}s\psi(Y)}[\psi(Y),X]\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}s\psi(Y)}ds \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}), \tag{2.11}$$

by lemma 2.1. On the other hand for $\psi, \phi \in C_1^1([a,b])$, a computation similar to that in (2.3) yields that

$$[\psi(Y),\phi(X)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{i}\hat{\psi}(\alpha)d\alpha \int_0^\alpha \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\alpha-\beta)Y}[Y,\phi(X)]\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta Y}d\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}), \tag{2.12}$$

since $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{\psi}(\alpha)||\alpha|d\alpha < \infty$ and since $[Y,\phi(X)] \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$, by lemma 2.1. Similarly for ϕ, $\psi(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j t^j \in \mathcal{P}([a,b])$, by repeating the same calculations as in (2.4) we conclude that

$$[\psi(Y),\phi(X)] = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j[Y^j,\phi(X)] = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} Y^{j-k-1}[Y,\phi(X)]Y^k \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}),. \tag{2.13}$$

since $[Y,\phi(X)] \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$, by lemma 2.1. Thus by applying proposition 2.4 for the above operators H, H_0 with the function ϕ, we conclude that there exists a unique function $\tilde{\xi}(t;\psi) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\phi(H) - \phi(H_0)$ is trace class and

$$\mathrm{Tr}\{\phi(H) - \phi(H_0)\} = \int_a^b \phi'(t)\tilde{\xi}(t;\psi)dt. \tag{2.14}$$

Furthermore from equation (2.11) we conclude that $H - H_0 \leq 0$, since $\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y),X] \leq 0$ by lemma 2.2 for $\psi \in C_{1+}^1([a,b])$ or $\mathcal{P}_+([a,b])$. Therefore from Proposition 2.4 we also note that $\tilde{\xi}(t;\psi) \leq 0$ for almost all $t \in [a,b]$. Now if we compute the left hand side of (2.14), we get

(2.15)

$$\mathrm{Tr}\{\phi(H) - \phi(H_0)\} = \mathrm{Tr}\{\phi(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\psi(Y)}X\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\psi(Y)}) - \phi(X)\} = \mathrm{Tr}\{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\psi(Y)}\phi(X)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\psi(Y)}) - \phi(X)\}$$
$$= \mathrm{iTr}\{\int_0^1 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}s\psi(Y)}[\psi(Y),\phi(X)]\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}s\psi(Y)}ds\} = \mathrm{iTr}\{[\psi(Y),\phi(X)]\},$$

where for the second equality we have used functional calculus, for the third equality we have used equation (2.11) and for the last equality we have used the cyclicity of trace. Thus by combining (2.14) and (2.15) we have

$$-\mathrm{i}\mathrm{Tr}\{[\psi(Y),\phi(X)]\} = -\int_a^b \phi'(t)\tilde{\xi}(t;\psi)dt = \int_a^b \phi'(t)\xi(t;\psi)dt, \tag{2.16}$$

where $\xi(t;\psi) \equiv -\tilde{\xi}(t;\psi) \geq 0$. Next if we consider the operators $H_0 = Y$ and $H = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi(X)}Y\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\phi(X)}$. The by a similar calculation we conclude that

$$H - H_0 = -\mathrm{i}\int_0^1 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}s\phi(X)}[Y,\phi(X)]\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}s\phi(X)}ds \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}), \tag{2.17}$$

since $[Y,\phi(X)] \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$, by lemma 2.1. Therefore by applying proposition 2.4 for the above operators H, H_0 with the function ψ, we conclude that there exists a unique function $\eta(\phi;\lambda)$ $\in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\psi(H) - \psi(H_0)$ is trace class and

$$\mathrm{Tr}\{\psi(H) - \psi(H_0)\} = \int_a^b \psi'(\lambda)\eta(\phi;\lambda)d\lambda. \tag{2.18}$$

Moreover, form equation (2.17) we note that $H - H_0 \geq 0$, since $-\mathrm{i}[Y,\phi(X)] \geq 0$ by lemma 2.2 for $\phi \in C^1_{1+}([a,b])$ or $\mathcal{P}_+([a,b])$. Therefore from proposition 2.4 we also note that $\eta(\phi;\lambda) \geq 0$ for almost all $\lambda \in [a,b]$. As before if we compute the left hand side of (2.18) we get

(2.19)

$$\mathrm{Tr}\{\psi(H) - \psi(H_0)\} = \mathrm{Tr}\{\psi(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi(X)}Y\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\phi(X)}) - \psi(Y)\} = \mathrm{Tr}\{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\phi(X)}\psi(Y)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\phi(X)}) - \psi(Y)\}$$
$$= \mathrm{i}\mathrm{Tr}\{\int_0^1 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}s\phi(X)}[\phi(X),\psi(Y)]\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}s\phi(X)}ds\} = \mathrm{i}\mathrm{Tr}\{[\phi(X),\psi(Y)]\} = -\mathrm{i}\mathrm{Tr}\{[\psi(Y),\phi(X)]\}.$$

Thus by combining (2.18) and (2.19) we have

$$-\mathrm{i}\mathrm{Tr}\{[\psi(Y),\phi(X)]\} = \int_a^b \psi'(\lambda)\eta(\phi,\lambda)d\lambda. \tag{2.20}$$

Therefore the conclusion of the theorem follows from (2.16) and (2.20) for real valued ϕ, ψ. The same above conclusions can be achieved for complex valued functions $\phi, \psi \in C^1_1([a,b])$ or $\mathcal{P}([a,b])$ by decomposing

$$\phi = \phi_1 + \mathrm{i}\phi_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi = \psi_1 + \mathrm{i}\psi_2,$$

and by applying the conclusion of the theorem for real valued functions $\phi_1, \phi_2, \psi_1, \psi_2$. By equation (2.11) of proposition 2.4 and lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$\int_a^b |\xi(t;\psi)|dt \leq \|H_0 - H\|_1 \leq \|-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y),X]\|_1$$

and

$$\int_a^b \xi(t;\psi)dt = \mathrm{Tr}(H_0 - H) = \mathrm{Tr}\left(-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y),X]\right) = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\psi'(Y)D^2\right).$$

The other results for η follows similarly. This completes the proof. □

Remark 2.6. *It is clear from equation* (2.10) *that both* $\xi(t;\cdot)$ *and* $\eta(\cdot;\lambda)$ *depend linearly on* ψ' *and* ϕ' *respectively and not on* ψ *and* ϕ *themselves as the left-handside in* (2.10) *appears to. Therefore, to avoid confusion it is preferable to replace* ψ', ϕ' *by* ψ *and* ϕ *respectively, demand that* ψ, $\phi \in \mathcal{P}([a,b])$, *and consequently replace* ψ, ϕ *by their indefinite integrals* $\mathcal{J}(\psi)$ *and* $\mathcal{J}(\phi)$ *respectively. Thus the equation* (2.10) *now reads: For* $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{P}([a,b])$

$$\mathrm{Tr}\{-\mathrm{i}\,[\mathcal{J}(\psi)(Y), \mathcal{J}(\phi)(X)]\} = \int_a^b \phi(t)\xi(t;\psi)dt = \int_a^b \psi(\lambda)\eta(\phi;\lambda)d\lambda, \tag{2.21}$$

where we have retained the earlier notation $\xi(t;\psi)$ *and* $\eta(\phi;\lambda)$. *Furthermore, for almost all* $t, \lambda \in [a,b]$, *the maps*

$$\mathcal{P}([a,b]) \ni \psi \longmapsto \xi(t;\psi) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathcal{P}([a,b]) \ni \phi \longmapsto \eta(\phi;\lambda) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$$

are positive linear maps. The next theorem gives L^1*-estimates for* $\xi(\cdot;\psi)$ *and* $\eta(\phi;\cdot)$ *which allows one to extend these maps for all* $\psi, \phi \in C([a,b])$.

Theorem 2.7. *Assume* ***(A)***.
(i) *Then*

$$\mathcal{P}([a,b]) \times \mathcal{P}([a,b]) \ni (\psi,\phi) \longmapsto \mathrm{Tr}\{-\mathrm{i}\,[\mathcal{J}(\psi)(Y), \mathcal{J}(\phi)(X)]\}$$

can be extended as a positive linear map on $C([a,b]) \times C([a,b])$. *Furthermore if* Δ, $\Omega \in Borel([a,b])$, *then*

$$\mathrm{Tr}\{-\mathrm{i}\,[\mathcal{J}(\chi_\Delta)(Y), \mathcal{J}(\chi_\Omega)(X)]\} = \int_\Omega \xi(t;\Delta)dt = \int_\Delta \eta(\Omega;\lambda)d\lambda, \tag{2.22}$$

where we have written $\xi(t;\Delta)$ *for* $\xi(t;\chi_\Delta)$ *and* $\eta(\Omega;\lambda)$ *for* $\eta(\chi_\Omega;\lambda)$. *For almost all fixed* $t, \lambda \in [a,b]$, $\xi(t;\cdot)$ *and* $\eta(\cdot;\lambda)$ *are countably additive positive measures such that*

$$\int_a^b \xi(t;\Delta)dt = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\chi_\Delta(Y)D^2\right), \int_a^b \eta(\Omega;\lambda)d\lambda = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\chi_\Omega(X)D^2\right),$$

and

$$\int_a^b \xi(t;[a,b])dt = \int_a^b \eta([a,b];\lambda)d\lambda = \mathrm{Tr}(D^2).$$

(ii) *The set functions*

$$Borel([a,b]) \ni \Delta \longmapsto \xi(t:\Delta) \quad \textit{and} \quad Borel([a,b]) \ni \Omega \longmapsto \eta(\Omega;\lambda)$$

are absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measures and the Radon-Nikodym derivatives satisfy:

$$\frac{\xi(t;d\lambda)}{d\lambda} = \frac{\eta(dt;\lambda)}{dt} \equiv r(t,\lambda) \geq 0$$

for almost all t, λ, *with* $\|r\|_{L^1([a,b]^2)} = \mathrm{Tr}(D^2)$.

(iii) *The statement of the theorem 2.5 now takes the form: For* $\psi, \phi \in C^1([a,b])$

$$\mathrm{Tr}\{-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y), \phi(X)]\} = \int_{[a,b]^2} \phi'(t)\psi'(\lambda)r(t,\lambda)dtd\lambda, \tag{2.23}$$

with the unique non-negative $L^1([a,b]^2)$ function r, which is sometimes called Carey-Pincus Principal function.

Proof. Let $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{P}([a,b])$, then $\mathcal{J}(\psi)$ and $\mathcal{J}(\phi)$ are also polynomials. As in (2.13), a similar computation with $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{P}([a,b])$ and if $\mathcal{J}(\phi)(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} c_j t^j$ leads to

$$-\mathrm{i}\left[\mathcal{J}(\psi)(Y), \mathcal{J}(\phi)(X)\right] = -\mathrm{i}\sum_{j=0}^{n} c_j \left(\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} X^k \left[\mathcal{J}(\psi)(Y), X\right] X^{j-k-1}\right)$$

and taking trace

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}\{-\mathrm{i}\left[\mathcal{J}(\psi)(Y), \mathcal{J}(\phi)(X)\right]\} &= -\mathrm{i}\mathrm{Tr}\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} j c_j X^{j-1}\left(\left[\mathcal{J}(\psi)(Y), X\right]\right)\} \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}\{\phi(X)\left(-\mathrm{i}\left[\mathcal{J}(\psi)(Y), X\right]\right)\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

and interchanging the role of X and Y (along with an associated negative sign) the above is equal to

$$\mathrm{Tr}\{-\mathrm{i}\left[\mathcal{J}(\psi)(Y), \mathcal{J}(\phi)(X)\right]\} = \mathrm{Tr}\{\psi(Y)\left(-\mathrm{i}\left[Y, \mathcal{J}(\phi)(X)\right]\right)\}, \tag{2.25}$$

and all these expressions are also equal to (by theorem 2.5)

$$\int_a^b \phi(t)\xi(t;\psi)dt = \int_a^b \psi(\lambda)\eta(\phi;\lambda)d\lambda$$

for respective ϕ and ψ. Now let $\phi = \phi_+ - \phi_-$ and $\psi = \psi_+ - \psi_-$, then $\phi_\pm$, $\psi_\pm$ are all non-negative. The domains of definitions of $\phi_\pm$ are open sets which are each a disjoint union of a countable collection of open intervals and furthermore, clearly *Supp* $\phi_+ \cap$ *Supp* $\phi_- = \{t \in [a,b] | \phi(t) = 0\}$, which is a finite discrete set. Therefore ϕ_+ and ϕ_- and hence $|\phi| = \phi_+ + \phi_-$ are polynomials if $\phi \in \mathcal{P}([a,b])$. By lemma 2.3, $-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y), \phi(X)] \in \mathcal{B}_{1\pm}(\mathcal{H})$ according as $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{P}_\pm([a,b])$ respectively. Therefore by linearity,

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathrm{i}\left[\mathcal{J}(\psi)(Y), \mathcal{J}(\phi)(X)\right] = &-\mathrm{i}\left[\mathcal{J}(\psi_+)(Y), \mathcal{J}(\phi_+)(X)\right] + \mathrm{i}\left[\mathcal{J}(\psi_-)(Y), \mathcal{J}(\phi_+)(X)\right] \\ &+ \mathrm{i}\left[\mathcal{J}(\psi_+)(Y), \mathcal{J}(\phi_-)(X)\right] - \mathrm{i}\left[\mathcal{J}(\psi_-)(Y), \mathcal{J}(\phi_-)(X)\right], \end{aligned}$$

which by using lemma 2.3 and equations (2.24) and (2.25) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|-\mathrm{i}\left[\mathcal{J}(\psi)(Y), \mathcal{J}(\phi)(X)\right]\right\|_1 \\ &\leq \mathrm{Tr}\{-\mathrm{i}\left[\mathcal{J}(\psi_+)(Y), \mathcal{J}(\phi_+)(X)\right]\} + \mathrm{Tr}\{-\mathrm{i}\left[\mathcal{J}(\psi_-)(Y), \mathcal{J}(\phi_+)(X)\right]\} \\ &+ \mathrm{Tr}\{-\mathrm{i}\left[\mathcal{J}(\psi_+)(Y), \mathcal{J}(\phi_-)(X)\right]\} + \mathrm{Tr}\{-\mathrm{i}\left[\mathcal{J}(\psi_-)(Y), \mathcal{J}(\phi_-)(X)\right]\} \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}\{|\phi|(X)\left(-\mathrm{i}\left[\mathcal{J}(|\psi|)(Y), X\right]\right)\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

and similarly

$$\left\|-\mathrm{i}\left[\mathcal{J}(\psi)(Y), \mathcal{J}(\phi)(X)\right]\right\|_1 \leq \mathrm{Tr}\{|\psi|(Y)\left(-\mathrm{i}\left[Y, \mathcal{J}(|\phi|)(X)\right]\right)\}. \tag{2.27}$$

These estimates allow us to extend the formulae to $\psi,\ \phi \in C([a,b])$. Indeed, consider a sequence $\{\phi_m\}$ of polynomials converging to $\phi \in C([a,b])$ uniformly in $[a,b]$. Then for a fixed $\psi \in \mathcal{P}([a,b])$

$$\|-\mathrm{i}\,[\mathcal{J}(\psi)(Y), \mathcal{J}(\phi_n - \phi_m)(X)]\|_1 \leq \mathrm{Tr}\{|\phi_n - \phi_m|(X)\,(-\mathrm{i}\,[\mathcal{J}(|\psi|)(Y), X])\}$$

which converges to zero as $n, m \longrightarrow \infty$, since $\||\phi_n - \phi_m|(X)\| = \|\phi_n - \phi_m\|_\infty$ and since $-\mathrm{i}\,[\mathcal{J}(|\psi|)(Y), X] \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$. Proceeding similarly for ψ with ϕ fixed, one concludes that for $\psi,\ \phi \in C([a,b])$

$$-\mathrm{i}\,[\mathcal{J}(\psi)(Y), \mathcal{J}(\phi)(X)] \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}\{-\mathrm{i}\,[\mathcal{J}(\psi)(Y), \mathcal{J}(\phi)(X)]\} &= \mathrm{Tr}\{\phi(X)\,(-\mathrm{i}\,[\mathcal{J}(\psi)(Y), X])\} \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}\{\psi(Y)\,(-\mathrm{i}\,[Y, \mathcal{J}(\phi)(X)])\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\int_a^b [\phi_n(t) - \phi(t)]\xi(t;\psi)dt)\right| \leq \|\phi_n - \phi\|_\infty \int_a^b |\xi(t;\psi)|dt \\ &\leq \|\phi_n - \phi\|_\infty \|-\mathrm{i}\,[\mathcal{J}(\psi)(Y), X]\|_1\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\int_a^b \psi(\lambda)\eta(\phi_n - \phi;\lambda)d\lambda\right| \leq \|\psi\|_\infty \int_a^b |\eta(\phi_n - \phi;\lambda)|d\lambda \\ &\leq \|\psi\|_\infty \|-\mathrm{i}\,[Y, \mathcal{J}(\phi_n - \phi)(X)]\|_1 \leq \|\psi\|_\infty \mathrm{Tr}\{-\mathrm{i}\,[Y, \mathcal{J}(|\phi_n - \phi|)(X)]\}, \end{aligned}$$

which by lemma 2.1 is equal to

$$\|\psi\|_\infty \mathrm{Tr}\{|\phi_n - \phi|(X)D^2\} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, one has that all the equal expressions in (2.24) and (2.25) are also equal to

$$\int_a^b \phi(t)\xi(t;\psi)dt = \int_a^b \psi(\lambda)\eta(\phi;\lambda)d\lambda \tag{2.30}$$

for all $\phi \in C([a,b])$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{P}([a,b])$. Now holding ϕ fixed in $C([a,b])$ and approximating $\psi \in C([a,b])$ by a sequence $\{\psi_m\}$ of polynomials, exactly as above, one establishes the equality (2.21) for all $\phi, \psi \in C([a,b])$.

Next we want to approximate characteristic functions by continuous functions in the same formula. Let $\{\phi_m\}$ be a sequence of uniformly bounded continuous functions in $[a,b]$ converging point-wise almost everywhere to χ_Ω with $\Omega \in Borel(\sigma(X))$ and let $\{\psi_m\}$ be a sequence similarly approximating χ_Δ for $\Delta \in Borel(\sigma(Y))$. Then by (2.30), we have that for $\psi \in C([a,b])$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b [\phi_n(t) - \chi_\Omega]\,\xi(t;\psi)dt &= \mathrm{Tr}\{-\mathrm{i}\,[\mathcal{J}(\psi)(Y), \mathcal{J}(\phi_n - \chi_\Omega)(X)]\} \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}\{(\phi_n - \chi_\Omega)(X)\,([\mathcal{J}(\psi)(Y), X])\}, \end{aligned}$$

which converges to zero as $n \longrightarrow \infty$ since $\phi_n(X)$ converges strongly to $\chi_\Omega(X)$ and since $-\mathrm{i}\,[\mathcal{J}(\psi)(Y), X] \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$. Thus

$$\int_\Omega \xi(t;\psi)dt = \lim_{n\longrightarrow\infty}\int_a^b \phi_n(t)\xi(t;\psi)dt = \lim_{n\longrightarrow\infty}\int_a^b \psi(\lambda)\eta(\phi_n;\lambda)d\lambda. \tag{2.31}$$

Now

$$\int_a^b |\eta(\phi_n - \chi_\Omega);\lambda|d\lambda \leq \|-\mathrm{i}\,[Y, \mathcal{J}(\phi_n - \chi_\Omega)(X)]\|_1 \leq \mathrm{Tr}\{(|\phi_n - \chi_\Omega)|)(X)D^2\},$$

which converges to zero as $n \longrightarrow \infty$ by the same reasoning exactly as above, and therefore

$$\lim_{n\longrightarrow\infty}\int_a^b \psi(\lambda)\eta(\phi_n;\lambda)d\lambda = \int_a^b \psi(\lambda)\eta(\chi_\Omega;\lambda)d\lambda. \tag{2.32}$$

In the equality

$$\int_\Omega \xi(t;\psi_m)dt = \int_a^b \psi_m(\lambda)\eta(\chi_\Omega;\lambda)d\lambda$$

with $\{\psi_m\}$ approximating χ_Δ as described earlier, we get required equality (2.22). This completes the proof of (i).

(ii) From the equality, for $\Omega \in Borel(\sigma(X))$, $\Delta \in Borel(\sigma(Y))$,

$$\int_\Omega \xi(t;\Delta)dt = \int_\Delta \eta(\Omega;\lambda)d\lambda$$

with ξ and η both non-negative, it follows that

$$\text{Lebesgue measure}(\Omega) = 0 \Longrightarrow \eta(\Omega;\lambda) = 0 \quad \text{and similarly}$$

$$\text{Lebesgue measure}(\Delta) = 0 \Longrightarrow \xi(t;\Delta) = 0, \quad \text{and clearly}$$

for fixed t, λ; $\xi(t;\cdot)$ and $\eta(\cdot;\lambda)$ are countably additive point-wise set functions. Therefore they are both absolutely continuous with respect to the respective Lebesgue measures, and we set

$$r(t,\lambda) = \frac{\xi(t;d\lambda)}{d\lambda} = \frac{\eta(dt;\lambda)}{dt} \geq 0.$$

The uniqueness of r follows from the equation (2.23) and the fact that $r \in L^1([a,b]^2)$ and that it has compact support. This completes the proof. □

Next, we want to compute the trace of

$$\mathrm{Tr}\{[\alpha(X)\psi(Y), \phi(X)]\} = \mathrm{Tr}\{\alpha(X)[\psi(Y), \phi(X)]\}$$

and by symmetry between X and Y, $\mathrm{Tr}\{[\alpha(X)\psi(Y), \beta(Y)]\} = -\mathrm{Tr}\{\psi(Y)[\beta(Y), \alpha(X)]\}$, where $\phi, \psi, \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}([a,b])$, which constitutes the next theorem.

Theorem 2.8. *Let T satisfy **(A)**. Let $\phi, \psi, \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}([a,b])$. Then $[\alpha(X)\psi(Y), \phi(X)] \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} &-\mathrm{iTr}\{[\alpha(X)\psi(Y), \phi(X)]\} = \int_{[a,b]^2} \alpha(t)\phi'(t)\psi'(\lambda)r(t,\lambda)dtd\lambda \\ &= \int_{[a,b]^2} -J(\alpha\psi, \phi)(t,\lambda)r(t,\lambda)dtd\lambda, \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

where r is the function obtained in theorem 2.7 and

$$J(\alpha\psi, \phi)(t,\lambda) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\alpha(t)\psi(\lambda))\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}(\phi(t)) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}(\alpha(t)\psi(\lambda))\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\phi(t))$$

is the Jacobian of $\alpha\psi$ and ϕ in $[a,b] \times [a,b] \equiv [a,b]^2$. Similarly, $[\alpha(X)\psi(Y), \beta(Y)] \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &-\mathrm{iTr}\{[\alpha(X)\psi(Y), \beta(Y)]\} = \int_{[a,b]^2} -\alpha'(t)\psi(\lambda)\beta'(\lambda)r(t,\lambda)dtd\lambda \\ &= \int_{[a,b]^2} -J(\alpha\psi, \beta)(t,\lambda)r(t,\lambda)dtd\lambda, \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

where r is the function obtained in theorem 2.7 and

$$J(\alpha\psi, \phi)(t,\lambda) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\alpha(t)\psi(\lambda))\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}(\beta(\lambda)) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}(\alpha(t)\psi(\lambda))\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\beta(\lambda))$$

is the Jacobian of $\alpha\psi$ and β in $[a,b]^2$.

Proof. Using (2.12) we say that

$$[\alpha(X)\psi(Y), \phi(X)] = \alpha(X)[\psi(Y), \phi(X)] \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}).$$

Next from (2.13) we conclude for $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{P}([a,b])$ that

(2.35)

$$-\mathrm{iTr}\{[\psi(Y), \phi(X)]\} = -\mathrm{iTr}\{\phi'(X)[\psi(Y), X]\} = \int_a^b \phi'(t)\mathrm{Tr}\left(E^{(X)}(dt)\{-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y), X]\}\right),$$

where we have used spectral theorem for the self-adjoint operator X and $E^{(X)}(.)$ is the spectral family of X. On the other hand from theorem 2.7(iii) we conclude that

$$-\mathrm{iTr}\{[\psi(Y), \phi(X)]\} = \int_{[a,b]^2} \phi'(t)\psi'(\lambda)r(t,\lambda)dtd\lambda, \tag{2.36}$$

for $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{P}([a,b])$. Therefore combining (2.35) and (2.36) we get

$$\int_a^b \phi'(t)\mathrm{Tr}\left(E^{(X)}(dt)\{-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y), X]\}\right) = \int_a^b \phi'(t)\left(\int_a^b \psi'(\lambda)r(t,\lambda)d\lambda\right)dt, \tag{2.37}$$

for $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{P}([a,b])$. Since

$$\Delta \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tr}\left(E^{(X)}(\Delta)\{-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y), X]\}\right) \quad (\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \text{ a Borel subset of } \mathbb{R})$$

is a complex measure with finite total variation and $r \in L^1[a,b]^2$, then we can extend the above equality (2.37) to all $\phi \in C([a,b])$ and therefore

$$\int_a^b \phi(t)\mathrm{Tr}\left(E^{(X)}(dt)\{-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y),X]\}\right) = \int_a^b \phi(t)\left(\int_a^b \psi'(\lambda)r(t,\lambda)d\lambda\right)dt \quad \text{for all} \quad \phi \in C([a,b]). \tag{2.38}$$

Thus by approximating characteristic function χ_Δ (for Borel subset $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$) through continuous functions we conclude from the above equation (2.38) that

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(E^{(X)}(\Delta)\{-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y),X]\}\right) = \int_{t\in\Delta} dt\left(\int_a^b \psi'(\lambda)r(t,\lambda)d\lambda\right), \tag{2.39}$$

which shows that the measure

$$\Delta \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tr}\left(E^{(X)}(\Delta)\{-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y),X]\}\right)$$

is absolutely continuous with respect to a Lebesgue measure dt and

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(E^{(X)}(dt)\{-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y),X]\}\right) = \left(\int_a^b \psi'(\lambda)r(t,\lambda)d\lambda\right)dt. \tag{2.40}$$

As in (2.24), a similar computation with $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{P}([a,b])$ and if $\phi(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_j\lambda^j$ leads to

(2.41)

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\mathrm{iTr}\{[\alpha(X)\psi(Y),\phi(X)]\} = -\mathrm{iTr}\{\alpha(X)[\psi(Y),\phi(X)]\} = -\mathrm{iTr}\{\alpha(X)\sum_{j=0}^{n} b_j[\psi(Y),X^j]\}\\
&= -\mathrm{i}\sum_{j=0}^{n} b_j\sum_{k=0}^{j-1}\mathrm{Tr}\{\alpha(X)X^k[\psi(Y),X]X^{j-k-1}\} = -\mathrm{i}\sum_{j=0}^{n} b_j\sum_{k=0}^{j-1}\mathrm{Tr}\{\alpha(X)X^{j-1}[\psi(Y),X]\}\\
&= -\mathrm{iTr}\{\alpha(X)\sum_{j=1}^{n} jb_jX^{j-1}[\psi(Y),X]\} = -\mathrm{iTr}\{\alpha(X)\phi'(X)[\psi(Y),X]\}\\
&= -\mathrm{iTr}\{\int_a^b \alpha(t)\phi'(t)E^{(X)}(dt)[\psi(Y),X]\} = \int_a^b \alpha(t)\phi'(t)\mathrm{Tr}\left(E^{(X)}(dt)\{-\mathrm{i}[\psi(Y),X]\}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where for fifth equality we have used the cyclicity of trace and for eighth equality we have used the spectral theorem for the self-adjoint operator X and $E^{(X)}(.)$ is the spectral family of X. Next by combining (2.40) and (2.41) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\mathrm{iTr}\{[\alpha(X)\psi(Y),\phi(X)]\} = \int_a^b \alpha(t)\phi'(t)\left(\int_a^b \psi'(\lambda)r(t,\lambda)d\lambda\right)dt\\
&= \int_{[a,b]^2} \alpha(t)\phi'(t)\psi'(\lambda)r(t,\lambda)dtd\lambda = \int_{[a,b]^2} -J(\alpha\psi,\phi)(t,\lambda)r(t,\lambda)dtd\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Next by interchanging the role of X and Y in the above calculations, we get that

$$-\mathrm{iTr}\{[\alpha(X)\psi(Y),\beta(Y)]\} = \int_{[a,b]^2} -\alpha'(t)\psi(\lambda)\beta'(\lambda)r(t,\lambda)dtd\lambda$$
$$= \int_{[a,b]^2} -J(\alpha\psi,\beta)(t,\lambda)r(t,\lambda)dtd\lambda.$$

This completes the proof. □

Remark 2.9. *If T satisfy **(A)**, then the conclusion of the above theorem 2.8 also can be achieved for $\phi,\psi,\alpha,\beta \in C_1^1([a,b])$.*

The next theorem replaces effectively the so called "Wallach's Collapse Theorem" [13].

Theorem 2.10. *Let T be as in the statement of theorem 2.8. Let $\phi,\psi,\alpha,\beta \in \mathcal{P}([a,b])$. Then the following is true*

$$-\mathrm{iTr}\{[\alpha(X)\psi(Y),\phi(X)\beta(Y)]\} = \int_{[a,b]^2} -J(\alpha\psi,\phi\beta)(t,\lambda)r(t,\lambda)dtd\lambda,$$

where

$$J(\alpha\psi,\phi\beta)(t,\lambda) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\alpha(t)\psi(\lambda))\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}(\phi(t)\beta(\lambda)) - \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}(\alpha(t)\psi(\lambda))\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\phi(t)\beta(\lambda))$$

is the Jacobian of $\alpha\psi$ and $\phi\beta$ in $[a,b]^2$.

Proof. By simple computation we get the following

$$\begin{aligned}&[\alpha(X)\psi(Y),\phi(X)\beta(Y)] - \{[\alpha(X)(\psi\beta)(Y),\phi(X)] + [(\alpha\phi)(X)\psi(Y),\beta(Y)]\}\\ &= \alpha(X)\psi(Y)\phi(X)\beta(Y) - \phi(X)\beta(Y)\alpha(X)\psi(Y) - \alpha(X)\psi(Y)\beta(Y)\phi(X)\\ &+ \beta(Y)\alpha(X)\phi(X)\psi(Y)\\ &= \alpha(X)\psi(Y)[\phi(X),\beta(Y)] - [\phi(X),\beta(Y)]\alpha(X)\psi(Y) \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}),\end{aligned}$$

by equation (2.12) and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Tr}\{[\alpha(X)\psi(Y),\phi(X)\beta(Y)] - ([\alpha(X)(\psi\beta)(Y),\phi(X)] + [(\alpha\phi)(X)\psi(Y),\beta(Y)])\}\\ &= \mathrm{Tr}\{\alpha(X)\psi(Y)[\phi(X),\beta(Y)] - [\phi(X),\beta(Y)]\alpha(X)\psi(Y)\} = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

where we have used the cyclicity of trace and the fact that $[\phi(X),\beta(Y)] \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$. Thus we have shown that

$$-\mathrm{iTr}\{[\alpha(X)\psi(Y),\phi(X)\beta(Y)]\} = -\mathrm{iTr}\{[\alpha(X)(\psi\beta)(Y),\phi(X)]\} - \mathrm{iTr}\{[(\alpha\phi)(X)\psi(Y),\beta(Y)]\}. \tag{2.43}$$

Therefore by using theorem 2.8 we compute the right hand side of (2.43) to get

$$\begin{aligned}&-\mathrm{iTr}\{[\alpha(X)(\psi\beta)(Y),\phi(X)]\} - \mathrm{iTr}\{[(\alpha\phi)(X)\psi(Y),\beta(Y)]\}\\ &= \int_{[a,b]^2} \alpha(t)\phi'(t)(\psi\beta)'(\lambda)r(t,\lambda)dtd\lambda - \int_{[a,b]^2} (\alpha\phi)'(t)\psi(\lambda)\beta'(\lambda)r(t,\lambda)dtd\lambda\\ &= \int_{[a,b]^2} -J(\alpha\psi,\phi\beta)(t,\lambda)r(t,\lambda)dtd\lambda.\end{aligned} \tag{2.44}$$

Therefore combining (2.43) and (2.44) we get

$$-\mathrm{i}\mathrm{Tr}\{[\alpha(X)\psi(Y), \phi(X)\beta(Y)]\} = \int_{[a,b]^2} -J(\alpha\psi, \phi\beta)(t,\lambda)r(t,\lambda)dtd\lambda.$$

This completes the proof. □

Now we are in a position to state our main result, the Helton-Howe-Carey-Pincus trace formula [1, 7, 8, 9, 13].

Theorem 2.11. *Let* $\Psi(t,\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j\alpha_j(t)\psi_j(\lambda)$ *and* $\Phi(t,\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} d_j\phi_k(t)\beta_k(\lambda)$, *(*$m, n \in \mathbb{N}$*) and* α_j, ψ_j, ϕ_j, β_j *are all in* $\mathcal{P}([a,b])$. *Then* $-\mathrm{i}\,[\Psi(X,Y), \Phi(X,Y)] \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$ *and*

$$\mathrm{Tr}\{-\mathrm{i}\,[\Psi(X,Y), \Phi(X,Y)]\} = \int_{[a,b]^2} J(\Psi,\Phi)(t,\lambda)r(t,\lambda)dtd\lambda.$$

Proof. Proof follows easily by applying theorem 2.10 and the fact that

$$\mathrm{Tr}\{-\mathrm{i}\,[\Psi(X,Y), \Phi(X,Y)]\} = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_jd_k\mathrm{Tr}\{-\mathrm{i}\,[\alpha_j(X)\psi_j(Y), \phi_k(X)\beta_k(Y)]\}.$$

□

Acknowledgement: The first author is grateful to ISI, Bangalore Centre and IIT, Guwahati for warm hospitality and the second author is grateful to Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research and SERB-Distinguished Fellowship for support.

References

[1] ALEKSANDROV, A. and PELLER, V. *Almost commuting functions of almost commuting self-adjoint operators*, arXiv:1412.3535v1 [math.FA] 11 December, 2014.
[2] BERGER, C.A. and SHAW, B.L. *Self-commutators of multicyclic hyponormal operators are always trace class*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 79(1973), 1193-1199.
[3] BIRMAN, M.S. and SOLOMYAK, M.Z. *Double operator integrals in a Hilbert space*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 47(2003), 131-168.
[4] CAREY, R. and PINCUS, J. *An exponential formula for determining functions*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 23, (1974), 10311042.
[5] CAREY, R. and PINCUS, J. *Mosaics, principal functions, and mean motion in von Neumann algebras*, Acta Math. 138 (1977), 153218.
[6] CHATTOPADHYAY, A. and SINHA, K.B. *Trace formulae in operator theory*, to appear in Operator Algebras and Mathematical Physics, Operator Theory: Adv. and Appl., Vol. No. 247, Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2015
[7] CLANCEY, K. *Seminormal Operators (Lecture Notes in Mathematics; 742)*, Edited by A. Dold and B. Eckmann, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1979.
[8] HELTON, J.W and HOWE, R. *Integral operators: commutators, traces, index and homology*, Proceedings of a Conference on Operator Theory (Dalhousie Univer- sity, Halifax, N.S. 1973), Lecture Notes in Math. 345, Springer, Berlin, 1973, 141209.
[9] HELTON, J.W and HOWE, R. *Traces of commutators of integral operators*, Acta Math. 135 (1975), 271305.
[10] KATO, T. *Smooth operators and commutators*, Studia Math., 31(1968), 535-546.
[11] KREIN, M. G. *On a trace formula in perturbation theory*, Matem. Sbornik 33 (1953), 597-626 (Russian).

[12] KREIN, M.G. *On certain new studies in the perturbation theory for self-adjoint operators*, Topics in Differential and Integral equations and Operator theory, (Ed.I Gohberg), OT 7 (Basel: Birkhauser-Verlag) 1983, 107-172.
[13] MARTIN, M and PUTINAR, M *Lectures on hyponormal operators*, OT 39, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Vol. 39, Birkhiiuser Verlag Basel, 1989.
[14] PUTNAM, C.R. *An inequality for the area of hyponormal spectra*, Math. Z., 116(1970),323-330.
[15] PUTNAM, C.R. *Trace norm inequalities for the measure of hyponormal spectra*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 21(1972),775-780.
[16] PUTNAM, C.R. *Commutation properties of Hilbert space operators and related topics*, Springer-Ver lag, Berlin-Heidelberg - New York, 1967.
[17] SINHA, K.B. and MOHAPATRA, A.N. *Spectral shift function and trace formula*, Proc.Indian Acad.Sci.(Math.Sci.), Vol. 104, No.4, November 1994, 819-853.
[18] VOICULESCU, D. *On a trace formula of M.G.Krein*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Vol.24(1987), 329-332 (Basel:Birkhauser-Verlag).

1) A. Chattopadhyay: Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Department of Mathematics, Guwahati- 560059, Kamrup, Assam, India.
E-mail address: 2003arupchattopadhyay@gmail.com, arupchatt@iitg.ernet.in

2) K. B. Sinha: J.N.Centre for Advanced Scientific Research, and Indian Institute of Science,, Bangalore, India.
E-mail address: kbs@jncasr.ac.in